IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 589 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 1950 OF 2006

Gaurav Dhroov Co-op.Hsg.Scy.Ltd.. ... Petitioner.
Versus
Municipal Corporation of Greater
Mumbai & Others. .... Respondents.

Ms. Sumedha Rao for the Petitioner.

Mrs. P. A.Purandare for Respondent Nos.1 to 4.

Mr. Anuj Narula i/by M/s. J.Narula & Associates
for Respondent No.5.

CORAM : R. M. LODHA, and
SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, JJ.

DATED : 12TH JANUARY, 2007.

P.C.:

We perused the order dated 11th August, 2006 whereby the writ petition came to be disposed of. Liberty was granted to the petitioner to apply to the Court if the developer (respondent no.5) did not pay the premium. We are informed by the Counsel for respondent no.5 that subsequent to the taking out of the notice of motion, the developer has paid the premium. In this view of the matter, the grievance raised by the petitioner regarding non-payment of the

premium by the developer stands redressed.

2. The other grievance raised in this notice of motion cannot be considered in the light of the order dated 11th August, 2006. If, there is a breach of the said order by any of the authority, the petitioner must adopt appropriate proceeding for disobedience of such direction.

3. Notice of Motion stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(R. M. LODHA, J.)

Sd/-

(SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, J.)